TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20
JUDGE: BRAD R. HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 08/14/00POSTED ON: 08/09/00

\_\_\_\_\_

0636171 - 1 PLAINTIFF: MARTINEZ MICHELLE

DEFENDANT: LAKE VIEW APARTMENTS

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

0621638 - 6 PLAINTIFF: FLORES ARMANDO

DEFENDANT: NOWAK THOMAS MD

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

0611339 - 3 PLAINTIFF: STUDENT LOAN MARKETING

DEFENDANT: FOLIA AUREL M JR.

RULTNG:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0613803 - 6 PLAINTIFF: ARRIAGA DOLORES

DEFENDANT: TELLES TRANSPORT

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0627292 - 6 PLAINTIFF: GILES TIMOTHY R

DEFENDANT: MACNEILLY LIBBY

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/08/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

Dept.: 20 Judge: BRAD R. HILL Page 1
C:\MACROS\REPORTS\20081400.TRL

TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20

JUDGE: BRAD R. HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 08/14/00POSTED ON: 08/09/00

0627604 - 2PLAINTIFF: ACTAGRO LLC

DEFENDANT: PACIFIC RIM TECHOLOGY

RULING:

THIS CASE CONTINUED TO 11/09/2000 AT 9A.M. DEPT 20 FOR A FURTHER STATUS HEARING. THE PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE DECLARATION IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING(RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)). PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO FILE AN UPDATED STATUS DECLARATION FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE NEXT HEARING. IF THE AT-ISSUE MEMORANDUM HAS BEEN FILED, OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED, NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0627684 - 4 PLAINTIFF: PERRY PATSY

DEFENDANT: PLETZ MICHAEL

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAY PRIOR TO THE HEARING(RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0628605 - 8 PLAINTIFF: PEDEN EWELL

DEFENDANT: DOMENO TOM

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0629719 - 6 PLAINTIFF: INTERNATIONAL CREDIT RECOVERY

DEFENDANT: HOLLISTER FARMS INC

RULING:

PLAINTIFF $\Rightarrow$ S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF $\Rightarrow$ S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0631546 - 9 PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL R. JAMES INC

DEFENDANT: CARTER LISA A

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

Dept.: 20 Judge: BRAD R. HILL Page 2
C:\MACROS\REPORTS\20081400.TRL

## TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20 JUDGE: BRAD R. HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 08/14/00POSTED ON: 08/09/00

\_\_\_\_\_

0632060 - OPLAINTIFF: BAXTER JAMES W

DEFENDANT: BURLINGTON NORTHERN

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0632061 - 8 PLAINTIFF: CHELEMEDOS THOMAS A

**DEFENDANT: BURLINGTON NORTHERN** 

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0634184 - 6 PLAINTIFF: LAREY DEANNA CAMPOS

DEFENDANT: GEIGLE TANNA LORENE

RULING:

PLAINTIFF IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0636628 - 0 PLAINTIFF: FLORES ANTONIA HERNANDEZ

DEFENDANT: HERNANDEZ JOSE JESUS

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0636642 - 1 PLAINTIFF: GARCIA JOSE MIGUEL

DEFENDANT: HAMMOND RANCH INC

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0636653 - 8 PLAINTIFF: INTERNATIONAL CREDIT

DEFENDANT: CARAVAN EXPRESS INC

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING(RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

Dept.: 20 Judge: BRAD R. HILL Page 3
C:\MACROS\REPORTS\20081400.TRL

#### TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20 JUDGE: BRAD R. HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 08/14/00POSTED ON: 08/09/00

\_\_\_\_\_

0637837 - 6 PLAINTIFF: SELF CLAUDINE

DEFENDANT: K-MART CORPORATION

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 02/07/01 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638754 - 2 PLAINTIFF: QUINTANILLA KRISTINA DEFENDANT: WILLIAMS DOROTHY

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C).

0639724 - 4PLAINTIFF: DAVIDSON JOHN

DEFENDANT: MORA ANTONIO PEREZ

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0641913 - 9 PLAINTIFF: DECKER WILLIAM D

DEFENDANT: ITO PACKING CO INC

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 01/09/01 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0641918 - 8 PLAINTIFF: INMAN TARISHA
DEFENDANT: WALGREENS

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/10/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

Dept.: 20 Judge: BRAD R. HILL Page 4
C:\MACROS\REPORTS\20081400.TRL

TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20

JUDGE: BRAD R. HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 08/14/00POSTED ON: 08/09/00

\_\_\_\_\_

0642520 - 1 PLAINTIFF: CONWAY SARAH

DEFENDANT: DELEON JAIME

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 11/09/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0631279 - 7 PLAINTIFF: WOODWARD COURT INVESTORS
DEFENDANT: RAINWATER SHERI LYNN

RULING:

PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFF=S ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED FIVE COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING (RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)).

0618712 - 4 PLAINTIFF: HERNANDEZ FELIX
DEFENDANT: CITY OF FRESNO

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 09/14/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR CRC 225 DISMISSAL HEARING. IF FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0623259 - 9 PLAINTIFF: POWELL MICHAEL A
DEFENDANT: POWELL DAVID F

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

0636135 - 6 PLAINTIFF: JAIME ROSARIO

DEFENDANT: THOMAS DIANNA KAY

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

0641510 - 3 PLAINTIFF: ZENITH INSURANCE COMPANY
DEFENDANT: ROYAL EXPRESS INC

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Dept.: 20 Judge: BRAD R. HILL Page 5
C:\MACROS\REPORTS\20081400.TRL